

QUESTIONS FOR STAKEHOLDERS ABOUT IMBALANCE SETTLEMENT MODEL

#	Section	Question
1.1	General settlement principles	Do you agree that the proposed settlement design is in line with the principles of the GL EB draft and the integration of balancing markets? Please provide your detailed views on this section.
1.2	Balance responsibility – 100%	Do you agree with the building block in chapter 3.1 for full balance responsibility requirement? Please provide your detailed views on this building block.
1.3	Cost coverage – full cost balancing and neutrality principle	Do you agree with the building block in chapter 3.2 for cost coverage base included to imbalance service? Please provide your detailed views on this building block.
1.4	Main imbalance price determination – marginal	Do you agree with the building block in chapter 3.3 for marginal pricing principle? Please provide your detailed views on this building block.

1.5	Imbalance settlement period – 60 minutes for 2018	Do you agree with the building block in chapter 3.4 for imbalance settlement period? Please provide your detailed views on this building block.
1.6	Settlement model – single portfolio model	Do you agree with the building block in chapter 3.5 for single portfolio model? Please provide your detailed views on this building block.
1.7	ACE involvement in imbalance pricing – ACE excluded	Do you agree with the building block in chapter 3.6 for ACE excluded pricing model? Please provide your detailed views on this building block.
1.8	Imbalance pricing model – single reference price	Do you agree with the building block in chapter 3.7 for single imbalance pricing model? Please provide your detailed views on this building block.
1.9	Imbalance service cost recovery model – hybrid model	Do you agree with the building block in chapter 3.8 for hybrid fee model? Please provide your detailed views on this building block.

1.10	The methodology for calculating the balancing service cost recovery	Do you agree with the proposed methodology for hybrid fees in chapter 3.9? Please provide your detailed views on mentioned methodology.
1.11	Other items to be harmonised	Do you agree with the other harmonisation items in chapter 3.10? Please provide your detailed views on these items.

QUESTIONS FOR STAKEHOLDERS ABOUT BALTIC mFRR MARKET AND mFRR STANDARD PRODUCT FOR BALANCING

#	Section	Question
2.1	Coordinated balancing model for year 2017	Do you have any remarks or comments to description of Coordinated balancing model for year 2017 in Section 3.1? Please provide your detailed views on this section.
2.2	Baltic mFRR market model for year 2018	Do you have any remarks or comments to description of Baltic mFRR market model for year 2018 in Section 3.2? Please provide your detailed views on this section.
2.3	Standard product for mFRR balancing	Do you have any remarks or comments to description of in Standard product for mFRR balancing Section 4.1? Please provide your detailed views on this section.
2.4	Special product for emergency reserves	Do you have any remarks or comments to description of Specific product for emergency reserves in Section 5.1? Please provide your detailed views on this section.